# Incidence and clinical characteristics of patients with LAPC and mesenteric vein thrombosis and current treatment paradigm

Amer H. Zureikat<sup>1</sup>, Michael J. Pishvaian<sup>2,8</sup>, Charles D. Lopez<sup>3</sup>, Kenneth Meredith<sup>4</sup>, Emmanuel E. Zervos<sup>5</sup>, Hassan Hatoum<sup>6</sup>, Ki Y. Chung<sup>7</sup>, Alex Tsobanoudis<sup>8</sup>, Daniel J. Berg<sup>9</sup>, Antonio Ucar<sup>10</sup>, Reza Nazemzadeh<sup>11</sup>, Susan E. Bates<sup>12</sup>, Karyn A. Goodman<sup>13</sup>, Thor Johnson<sup>14</sup>, Nainesh Parikh<sup>15</sup>, Brian Kouri<sup>16</sup>, Christopher Laing<sup>17</sup>, Brian A. Boone<sup>18</sup>, Imtiaz Qureshi<sup>8</sup>, Ramtin Agah<sup>8</sup> <sup>1</sup>University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA; <sup>2</sup>Johns Hopkins University, Portland, OR; <sup>4</sup>Sarasota Memorial Health Cancer Institute, Science University, Greenville, NC; <sup>6</sup>University of Oklahoma, Oklahoma City, OK; <sup>7</sup>Prisma Health Cancer Institute, Boiling Springs, SC; <sup>8</sup>RenovoRx, Los Altos, CA; <sup>9</sup>University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA; <sup>10</sup>Miami Cancer Institute, NC; <sup>12</sup>Columbia University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC; <sup>15</sup>Moffit Cancer Center, Tampa, FL; <sup>16</sup>Wake Forest Baptist Medical Center, Winston-Salem, NC; <sup>17</sup>Sutter Cancer Center, Sacramento, CA; <sup>18</sup>West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV

## BACKGROUND

Mesenteric vein thrombosis (MVT) is incidentally identified in patients with locally advance pancreatic cancer (LAPC). MVT can be identified by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging and is defined as the complete occlusion of either:

- Superior mesenteric vein (SMV) or
- Main portal vein (MPV)

TIGeR-PaC<sup>i</sup> is a phase III clinical trial investigating the efficacy of intra-arterial chemotherap treatment utilizing a novel dual-balloon catheter compared to the standard of care. Prior t randomization, patients undergo an induction phase. Using clinical data from induction, w to establish the **incidence** and **treatment response** of MVT in LAPC patients

## **METHODS**

LAPC patients with a 0-1 ECOG and diagnosis within 6 weeks begin induction with chemo ( gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel) and radiation therapy (SBRT or IMRT) per the study schema:



RANDOMIZED



#### **COHORT ANALYSIS**

We analyzed 180 patients with interpretable baseline (BL) images. Of those, 112 had interpretable images and completed induction (C4) to assess MVT treatment response (tx **response**) from BL. Of those with improved MVT outcome at C4, we analyzed C2 images to assess chemo and radiation's role in resolution.

Incidence demographics:

- Sex<sup>ii</sup>
- Mean age<sup>iii</sup>
- Tumor location<sup>ii</sup>



### CONCLUSION

ed
----

ру	,
0	
/e	seek

(IV	
-----	--

# 28% of patients had improved **MVT outcome with standard** chemoradiation therapy

- Severe MVT is more prevalent in patients with LAPC than previously reported
- Sex, Age, and Tumor location are not significantly related to MVT incidence
- Patients' MVT mostly resolved at Cycle 2
- Anticoagulation is not significantly related to improved MVT outcome





# RESULTS

### **INCIDENCE**

	<u>MVT+</u>	MVT
Baseline; N=180	91 (50.6%)	89 (49.
Males	40 (44.0)	35 (39
Mean Age (yr.)	66.9	68.7
Tumor Location	—	—
Head	65 (71.4)	59 (66
Body/Tail	26 (28.6)	30 (33

<sup>ii</sup>Calculated using Fisher's exact test and <sup>iii</sup>independent samples t-test

### RESOLUTION



### **FUTURE**

The role of MVT in patients' survival outcome is being investigated as part of TIGeR-PaC.

The relationship between visceral pain, a common symptom in this patient population, and MVT presence needs further investigation.



